



Peer-Review Report Form

Manuscript Title:	Community Extension Programs and Professional Development of Public Elementary School Teachers
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

Editor

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
The reviewers appreciate the contextual relevance of your study on community extension programs. However, both reviewers emphasize the necessity of explicitly detailing your methodological parameters and statistical outcomes in the abstract. Please revise the abstract to incorporate the exact participant count, sampling strategy, and regression coefficients to ensure complete methodological transparency before publication.

Reviewer 1

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
This quantitative descriptive-correlational study offers a highly relevant and methodologically rigorous examination of how structural community outreach integrates with pedagogical enhancement. The operationalization of your variables, particularly the breakdown of professional development into planning, executing, and evaluating, is highly commendable. However, the manuscript requires a much more explicit articulation of the specific regression outcomes and demographic parameters within the abstract to meet the standards of this journal. Clarifying these precise statistical variations will significantly elevate the empirical transparency of your summary. Implementing these comprehensive revisions will firmly establish your research as a substantive contribution to educational human resource literature.

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
Please enhance your abstract by explicitly stating the specific geographic location where the research was conducted to provide immediate demographic context. You must ensure that Manay South District, Davao Oriental, Philippines is clearly identified in the summary. Furthermore, you need to explicitly state the exact participant count and the specific sampling methodology utilized to demonstrate analytical rigor. State explicitly that 134 teachers were selected through convenience sampling. Additionally, you must explicitly list the specific sub-domains of community extension programs measured in the survey. Make sure to mention health and environment, literacy, and livelihood initiatives directly within the text. Finally, clarify the exact predictive power of your multiple regression model to demonstrate empirical depth. State explicitly that the model explained 61% of the variance in professional development, and note that livelihood initiatives reported the largest standardized coefficient with a beta of 0.23.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
	Accept the manuscript for publication.
/	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

Reviewer 2

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
I read the article about community extension programs and professional development of teachers. The topic holds massive importance for school administrators today. Your research shows robust statistical models and excellent theoretical structure. The paper lacks exact facts and numbers in the summary section. You must add specific details to make the research complete and transparent. Please apply my advice below to perfect the document.
<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
You must write the exact participant count inside the abstract. State clearly that 134 public school educators answered the survey. Also, tell the readers the exact location of the study. Add Manay South District and Davao Oriental to the summary paragraph. Next, list the exact method for participant selection. Write that the researcher used convenience selection to gather data. You must also state the exact overall mean score for both main variables. Write that extension programs had a mean of 3.51 and professional development had a mean of 3.71. Both variables obtained a high descriptive level. Provide the exact correlation result. Mention the r value of 0.78 to show a strong positive relationship. State the exact variance percentage. Write that the predictors explained 61 percent of the variance. Finally, state the exact top predictor in the text. Add that livelihood initiatives obtained the highest beta coefficient of 0.23. These details elevate the academic quality of the abstract. The public will appreciate these specific facts when they review the document.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
	Accept the manuscript for publication.
/	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			