



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	Moral Foundations and Communicative Ethical Leadership of Public Elementary School Teachers
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

<u>Comments and Recommendations</u>
Both reviewers note that the abstract should be refined to explicitly include the specific measured domains and the highest-rated areas. Address these items to improve the manuscript's empirical clarity.

REVIEWER 1

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
Please enhance your abstract by explicitly stating the specific geographic location where the research was conducted to provide immediate demographic context. You must ensure that Mati Central District, Division of the City of Mati is clearly identified in the summary. Furthermore, you need to explicitly list the specific sub-domains of moral foundations measured. Make sure to mention care, fairness, loyalty, respect, and sanctity directly within the text. Additionally, clarify the exact domain that received the highest rating to demonstrate analytical depth. State explicitly that respect obtained the highest domain mean of 4.39.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
This quantitative correlational study provides a highly relevant and methodologically rigorous investigation into the intersection of foundational ethics and leadership communication among educators. The theoretical constructs are robustly operationalized, yet the initial manuscript requires a much more explicit articulation of the specific domain outcomes within the abstract to meet the standards of high-impact journals. Clarifying the precise highest-rated domains will significantly elevate the empirical transparency of your summary. Implementing these comprehensive revisions will firmly establish your research as a substantive contribution to educational leadership literature.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
	Accept the manuscript for publication.
/	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.



Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>

You must put the exact location inside the abstract. State clearly that the study happened in Mati Central District. Also, the summary needs the exact participant count. Tell the audience that 125 educators answered the questions. Next, list the exact high areas in the text. Add respect to the paragraph. The public will appreciate these specific facts when they review the document.
--

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
--

The research about teacher morals and ethical leaders is very relevant. The text presents good facts for school leaders. You must put exact details in the summary so people understand the work fast. Please apply my advice below to perfect the document.
--

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>

☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
--------------------------	--

☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
--------------------------	--

☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.
--------------------------	---



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			