



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	Self-Regulated Language Learning Strategies and Task Engagement in Second Language Acquisition of Students in Public Secondary Schools
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

Comments and Recommendations
The reviewers highlight the need for explicit inclusion of the theoretical frameworks and precise domain measurements in your abstract. Please revise to incorporate these crucial details to ensure the paper meets international academic standards.

REVIEWER 1

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
Please enhance your abstract by explicitly stating the specific theoretical models that ground your research. You must mention self-regulated learning, sociocultural perspectives, and student engagement models to provide immediate conceptual context. Furthermore, you need to explicitly list the specific sub-domains of task engagement. Make sure to mention behavioral, emotional, cognitive, agentic, and social dimensions directly within the text. Additionally, clarify the exact domains that received the highest ratings. State explicitly that metacognitive strategies and behavioral engagement were the most prominent areas.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
This study offers a comprehensive and methodologically rigorous exploration of self-regulation and engagement in second language acquisition. The integration of sociocultural perspectives is excellent, yet the initial manuscript requires a much more explicit articulation of your theoretical anchors within the abstract to meet the standards of high-impact journals. Clarifying the precise domains evaluated will significantly elevate the empirical transparency of your summary. Implementing these revisions will firmly establish your research as a substantive contribution to applied linguistics.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>
☐ Accept the manuscript for publication.



/	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
The abstract lacks the exact theories right now. You must write sociocultural perspectives inside the text. Also, tell the readers the exact location of the research. State that the work happened in Tugbok District. Next, list the exact high score areas. Add metacognitive habits and behavioral focus to the paragraph. The public will appreciate these specific facts when they review the document.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
I read the article about student language habits and task focus. The text holds great value for teachers everywhere. You need to put exact facts in the summary so people understand the research fast. Please apply my advice below to perfect the document.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
	Accept the manuscript for publication.
/	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			