



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	Teaching Literature in the 21st Century: Awareness of English Teachers in Public Secondary Schools during the MATATAG Curriculum Implementation
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

Comments and Recommendations

I find your qualitative inquiry into literature classrooms and curriculum reform to be highly timely, though it requires greater methodological and contextual precision before publication. Please revise your abstract to explicitly identify Davao Oriental as the research locale and specify that the study involved twelve public secondary English teachers. Furthermore, your summary must be expanded to clearly delineate the specific areas where educators require sustained systemic support, including specialized professional development, accessible instructional materials, and institutional backing.

REVIEWER 1

Specific Comments and Recommendations

Please enhance your abstract by explicitly stating the exact number of individuals who participated in the research. You must specify that twelve public secondary English teachers were interviewed for this study. Additionally, identify the specific geographical location of the research directly in the summary to provide immediate context. Ensure you add Davao Oriental to the text. Furthermore, your abstract should clearly list the specific areas where teachers require sustained systemic support. You need to explicitly mention specialized professional development, accessible instructional materials, and institutional backing. Incorporating these specific details will thoroughly strengthen your empirical summary.

General Comments and Recommendations

This qualitative inquiry offers a timely exploration of how educators navigate recent curriculum reforms in literature classrooms. The phenomenological design is appropriate, but the manuscript requires a more explicit statement of the specific participant count in the abstract. Highlighting the exact themes related to teacher needs will also significantly boost the practical value of your conclusions. These refinements will elevate the overall impact of your important work.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
You must put the exact location inside the abstract. State clearly that the study happened in Davao Oriental. Also, the summary needs the exact participant count. Tell the audience that twelve educators shared their stories. Next, list the exact teacher needs in the text. Add specialized professional development and instructional materials to the paragraph. The public will appreciate these specific facts when they review the document.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
The research on literature teachers and the new curriculum is very nice. The draft lacks some specific words to be ready for the public. People want exact facts when they read the text. Please add the details I mention to finish the manuscript.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			