



## REVIEWER REPORT

<b>Manuscript Title:</b>	Transformational Leadership Practices and Global Competence among School Administrators in Public Elementary Institutions
<b>Type of Article:</b>	Original Article

### FIRST ROUND

#### EDITOR

##### Comments and Recommendations

I find your study on transformational leadership and global competence to be highly relevant, though it requires greater methodological and contextual precision before publication. Please revise your abstract to explicitly identify the General Roxas District, Division of Davao City as the research locale and specify that the study involved 105 school administrators. Furthermore, your methodology and summary must be expanded to clearly delineate the statistical tools utilized, specifically mentioning the mean, Pearson product-moment correlation, and regression analyses.

#### REVIEWER 1

##### Specific Comments and Recommendations

Please ensure that your abstract explicitly states the exact location where the research was conducted. You must mention General Roxas District, Division of Davao City to provide a clear demographic context for your readers. In your methodology section, explicitly state the exact number of school administrators who participated in the survey. It is crucial to clarify that 105 administrators completed the standardized questionnaires. Furthermore, you need to explicitly list the statistical tools used for data analysis directly in the summary. Add that mean, Pearson product-moment correlation, and regression analyses were utilized to examine the data. Incorporating these precise details will thoroughly fortify your empirical summary and methodological transparency.

##### General Comments and Recommendations

This quantitative study offers a highly relevant examination of how transformational leadership fosters global competence among school administrators. The statistical methodology is robust, but the manuscript requires a more precise description of the geographical context in the abstract to immediately ground the research. Enhancing the clarity of your participant demographics will also significantly elevate the academic rigor of your summary. Addressing these minor omissions will ensure your paper makes a strong contribution to educational leadership literature.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

## REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
The abstract lacks the exact analysis tools right now. You must write Pearson correlation and regression inside the text. Also, tell the readers the exact participant count. State that 105 administrators answered the survey. Next, list the exact location in the summary text. Add General Roxas District to the paragraph. The public will appreciate these specific facts when they review the document.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
I read your article about transformational leaders and global skills. The text holds great value for school administrators everywhere. You need to put exact facts in the summary so people understand the research fast.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



**SECOND ROUND**

<b><u>Decision</u></b>	<b><u>Editor</u></b>	<b><u>Reviewer 1</u></b>	<b><u>Reviewer 2</u></b>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			