



## REVIEWER REPORT

<b>Manuscript Title:</b>	Maintainable Progress Goals and Collective Ideas Supervision of Teachers in Public Elementary Schools
<b>Type of Article:</b>	Original Article

### FIRST ROUND

#### EDITOR

#### Comments and Recommendations

I find your investigation into shared supervision and sustainable improvement to be highly relevant, though it requires greater empirical and contextual precision before publication. Please revise your abstract to explicitly identify the research locale as Davao Oriental and specify the key sub-domains measured, including economic and social community aspects. Furthermore, your results narrative must transparently report that while teacher well-being and responsibility were rated high, the domains of lifelong learning and self-esteem received comparatively lower scores. In your methodology, please also ensure you explicitly state the specific type of survey instrument utilized to strengthen your research design.

#### REVIEWER 1

#### Specific Comments and Recommendations

Please ensure that your abstract explicitly lists the specific domains of maintainable progress goals, specifically mentioning the economic and social community aspects. You must also detail the domains of collective ideas supervision in the summary to provide a complete overview of the measured variables. Explicitly state that teacher well-being and responsibility were rated high, while lifelong learning and self-esteem were rated comparatively lower. Furthermore, you need to clarify the exact geographic scope of your research within the abstract. Add that the data were collected from public elementary schools in Davao Oriental, Philippines. In your methodology section, explicitly state the type of survey instrument utilized for your correlational design. Incorporating these precise details will thoroughly fortify your empirical summary and methodological transparency.

#### General Comments and Recommendations

This quantitative correlational study offers a timely investigation into the structural dynamics of shared supervision and sustainable improvement in public education. The statistical approach is generally sound, but the abstract needs a more explicit delineation of the specific sub-domains measured to provide immediate clarity for the reader. Enhancing the methodological transparency regarding your survey instrument will also significantly bolster the academic rigor of your framework. Addressing these minor omissions will ensure your manuscript makes a strong contribution to educational leadership literature.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

## REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
The abstract lacks the exact sub-variables right now. You must write economic and social community aspects inside the text. Also, tell the readers the exact low areas for shared supervision. State that lifelong education and self-esteem received lower scores. Next, list the exact location in the summary text. Add Davao Oriental to the paragraph. The public will appreciate these specific facts when they review the document.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
I read the article about progress goals and shared teacher supervision. The text holds great value for school administrators today. You need to put exact facts in the summary so people understand the research fast. Please apply my advice to perfect the document.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



**SECOND ROUND**

<b><u>Decision</u></b>	<b><u>Editor</u></b>	<b><u>Reviewer 1</u></b>	<b><u>Reviewer 2</u></b>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			