



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	In the Spotlight: Success Stories of Award-Winning Elementary School Paper Advisers
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

Comments and Recommendations

I find your insights into the practices of successful school paper advisers to be excellent, though the study requires greater methodological and thematic precision before publication. Please revise your abstract to explicitly identify the Panabo South District as the research locale and specify that the study involved ten purposively selected public school educators. Furthermore, your summary must be expanded to clearly delineate the core practice themes such as cultivating curiosity and teaching ethical rules alongside the specific areas for improvement identified by the participants, including enhanced student ownership and continuous mentorship.

REVIEWER 1

Specific Comments and Recommendations

Please enhance the abstract by explicitly detailing the three specific areas for improvement that the advisers identified. You must list enhanced student ownership, authentic journalistic exposure, and continuous mentorship. Furthermore, it is essential to state the exact participant count in your methodology. Clarify that ten public school educators were purposively selected for the interviews. Finally, identify the specific district in your abstract. Ensure you mention Panabo South District to provide immediate demographic clarity.

General Comments and Recommendations

This manuscript offers excellent insights into the practices of successful school paper advisers. The phenomenological approach is well executed. However, the abstract needs to explicitly outline the specific areas for program improvement. These additions will give the reader a much better grasp of your practical recommendations.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
You must insert the exact practice themes in the abstract. State that teachers cultivated curiosity and taught ethical rules. Next, list the exact location in the summary text. Add Panabo South District to the paragraph. Also, tell the audience the exact participant count. Write that ten educators shared their stories. Your article will become excellent with these exact words.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
The research about school paper advisers is very nice. The draft lacks some specific words to be ready for the public. People want exact facts when they read the text. Please apply my advice to finish the manuscript.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			