



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	Differentiated Instruction and Classroom Learning Environment as Determinants of Student Motivation
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

Comments and Recommendations

Your quantitative study on student motivation is empirical, though it requires greater methodological and statistical precision before publication. Please revise your abstract to explicitly identify the Bansalan East District in Davao del Sur as the research locale and specify that the study involved 138 public elementary school teachers. Furthermore, your methodology and results sections must be expanded to report the use of Slovin's formula with a 5% margin of error and clearly state that your model explains 67% of the variance in student motivation. Please ensure all these targeted enhancements including specific statistical values like the 4.33 mean for task value and the 0.82 coefficient for differentiated instruction are thoroughly addressed.

REVIEWER 1

Specific Comments and Recommendations

I recommend that you explicitly state the exact formula used to determine your sample size in the methodology section. Specifically, mention that Slovin's formula was utilized with a five percent margin of error. In the abstract, you must include the total number of respondents who participated in the survey. Please clarify that data were collected from 138 public elementary school teachers. Additionally, the abstract needs the exact percentage of variance explained by your statistical model. Be sure to add that the combined predictors explained 67 percent of the variance in student motivation. These precise details will ground your quantitative claims effectively.

General Comments and Recommendations

This quantitative study provides compelling empirical evidence regarding the predictors of student motivation. The statistical framework is robust, but the manuscript requires more specific details regarding your sampling calculations to ensure replicability. Clarifying the exact predictive power of your combined regression model in the abstract will also strengthen your conclusions. These revisions will greatly enhance the readability and impact of your research.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
You must put the exact district name inside the abstract. State that the study took place in Bansalan East District, Davao del Sur. The text also needs a clear mention of the highest domain mean for motivation. Tell the audience that task value obtained a mean of 4.33. Next, list the exact standardized coefficient for differentiated instruction. Add the value of 0.82 to the results paragraph. The readers will appreciate these exact details.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
The research on student motivation is very nice. The draft lacks some specific words to be ready for the public. People want exact facts when they read the text. Please apply my advice to finish the manuscript.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept the manuscript for publication.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			