



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	Behind Every Trophy: Best Practices of Award-Winning Elementary School Sports Coordinators
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

<u>Comments and Recommendations</u>
I find your exploration of sports coordinators' roles to be highly informative, though it requires greater thematic and methodological precision before publication. Please revise your abstract to explicitly identify the Mati Central District as the research locale and specify that the study involved ten sports coordinators. Furthermore, your methodology must be expanded to clarify the specific purposive sampling criteria noting the requirement for previous athletic success while the summary must clearly delineate themes such as personal fulfillment, structured practice, and strategic stakeholder collaboration.

REVIEWER 1

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
Please enhance your abstract by explicitly listing the three meaning-making themes discovered during your analysis. You must include personal fulfillment and purpose, professional growth and skill enhancement, and relationship-building with students. Additionally, specify the exact geographic locale of your research directly in the summary. Include Mati Central District, Division of the City of Mati to properly situate the study. In your methodology section, detail the exact inclusion criteria used for your purposive sampling. Clarify that participants had to be previously recognized for successful performance in athletic competitions. These specific inclusions will thoroughly fortify your research design.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
This qualitative exploration offers valuable perspectives on the multifaceted roles of school sports coordinators. The methodological design is sound, but the abstract needs a more comprehensive listing of your specific thematic findings to adequately reflect the depth of your analysis. Providing greater transparency regarding your sampling criteria will also significantly boost the credibility of your study. These additions will ensure the manuscript meets the highest standards of academic rigor.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
You must insert the exact participant count in the abstract. State that ten sports coordinators joined the study. Next, list the exact best practice themes in the summary text. Add structured practice and motivation to the paragraph. Also, tell the audience the exact future recommendations. Write that strategic preparation and stakeholder collaboration will improve program success. Your paper will become excellent with these exact words.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
The research on sports leaders is very informative. The current draft lacks specific facts about the exact themes. People need clear details to comprehend the whole work. Please add the items above to finish the article.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			