



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	Building Dreams: Lived Experiences of Parents Supporting their Children in Extracurricular Activities
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

<u>Comments and Recommendations</u>
Your phenomenological study on parental support for extracurricular activities is deeply insightful but requires greater methodological and contextual precision. Please revise your abstract to explicitly identify the research locale as Calinan West, Davao City, while clearly stating that ten parents were selected from a pool of seventeen eligible participants. Furthermore, your methodology must be expanded to include the one-year experience threshold for participants and a specific citation of the Data Privacy Act of 2012 to strengthen your ethical framework.

REVIEWER 1

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
The abstract currently lacks the exact location where the research was conducted. Please insert Calinan West, Division of Davao City directly into the abstract to provide immediate geographical context for the reader. In your methodology section, it is essential to explicitly state the inclusion criteria regarding the minimum duration of parental experience. You must specify that parents needed at least one year of experience supporting such participation to be included. Furthermore, your ethical considerations section needs to cite specific national privacy legislation to demonstrate compliance. Ensure you add a reference to the Data Privacy Act of 2012 to fully ground your ethical safeguards. These precise details will make your methodological approach undeniably robust.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
This phenomenological study provides a deep and meaningful exploration of the sacrifices and strategies parents employ to support extracurricular participation. The qualitative design is well-executed, yet the abstract and methodology need a clearer presentation of your participant demographics and ethical safeguards to properly contextualize the narratives. Enhancing these sections will significantly bolster the credibility and transparency of your research framework. Implementing these minor additions will ensure your paper meets the highest standards for publication.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
You must put the exact participant count inside the abstract. State clearly that ten parents shared their stories with the researcher. Also, the method section needs the exact total number of eligible parents. Tell the audience that the ten participants came from a pool of seventeen eligible parents. Finally, list the exact benefits in the abstract to make it complete. Add that the activities build discipline, confidence, and teamwork skills. The public will appreciate these specific facts when they read the document.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
I read your paper about parent support for school activities with much interest. The text has very good points but it lacks some exact facts in the method part. Readers need to see the exact numbers and names to comprehend the work completely. Please add the details I mention below to perfect the manuscript.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			