



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	From Secondary to Primary: Lived Experiences of Non-Elementary Education Graduates Teaching Elementary Learners
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

<u>Comments and Recommendations</u>
Your exploration of out-of-field teacher deployment offers significant value to school administrators but requires more precise data reporting before publication. Please revise your abstract to explicitly identify the Tibungco District, specify the ten-participant count, and clearly delineate the challenge clusters such as behavior management and curriculum adaptation alongside growth areas like resilience. Furthermore, your methodology must be expanded to include exact trustworthiness strategies, such as audit trails and peer debriefing, and clarify the use of participant codes (P1-P10) to ensure ethical transparency.

REVIEWER 1

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
Your abstract currently lacks a detailed breakdown of the specific challenges faced by the educators. Please explicitly list behavior management demands, parental monitoring, and curriculum adaptation as the three main clusters of challenges. In the methodology section, you should detail the exact strategies used to ensure trustworthiness. State that you utilized an audit trail, peer debriefing, and participant checks to validate your interpretations. Furthermore, make sure to specify the exact location of the study in the abstract. Include Tibungco District, Division of Davao City to provide immediate geographical context. These adjustments will greatly improve the precision of your paper.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
This manuscript provides a valuable phenomenological perspective on the phenomenon of out-of-field teacher deployment across different educational levels. The thematic insights are strong, but the abstract needs to delineate the specific clusters of challenges discovered during the analysis. Additionally, the methodology section would benefit from a more explicit description of your data validation techniques. These refinements will ensure your research is both comprehensive and methodologically transparent.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
You must write the exact participant count in the abstract. State clearly that ten teachers shared their stories. The method section also needs the exact participant codes. Tell the audience that you used codes P1 to P10 to protect identities. Finally, list the exact positive outcomes in the abstract. Add flexibility and resilience as professional growth areas. The readers will appreciate these specific facts.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
I read your research about high school educators placed in primary classes. The topic holds great importance for school administrators. The draft needs more exact details to be ready for the public. I wrote some tips to help you.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			