



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	Success Stories of Best Pedagogical Practices of Elementary Teachers in Teaching Reading to Indigenous Learners
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

<u>Comments and Recommendations</u>
Your qualitative inquiry into indigenous literacy instruction is highly valuable but requires greater contextual and ethical specificity before publication. Please revise your abstract to explicitly state the study's location, the exact participant count, and the specific pedagogical practices identified, such as the use of contextualized visuals and family involvement. Additionally, your methodology must clearly outline the inclusion criteria for teacher tenure and cite the specific national and international ethical frameworks, including the Philippine Data Privacy Act and the Belmont Report, that guided your research.

REVIEWER 1

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
Please specify the exact geographic locale of your study directly in the abstract. You need to mention Sta. Maria East District, Division of Davao Occidental to provide immediate context. In the methodology section, clarify the exact inclusion criteria regarding teacher tenure. Explicitly state that participants needed at least three years of experience with indigenous learners. Additionally, your ethical safeguards section should reference specific national legislation. Ensure you cite the Philippine Data Privacy Act of 2012 to demonstrate rigorous compliance with local data protection standards. These specific additions will greatly enhance the credibility of your work.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
This qualitative inquiry offers profound insights into the pedagogical adaptations required for effective literacy instruction within indigenous communities. The conceptual framing is excellent, but your abstract must explicitly state the specific location and the precise experience level required for participant inclusion. Furthermore, detailing the exact data privacy legislation in your methodology will strengthen the ethical robustness of the study. Incorporating these details will make your manuscript a more rigorous piece of scholarship.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
The abstract must show the exact participant count. State that ten public educators joined the research. You also need to list the exact pedagogical practices in the summary. Write down the use of contextualized visual materials and strong family involvement. Lastly, name the exact ethical document used for guidance. Mention the Belmont Report inside the method section. These exact terms will improve the overall quality of the manuscript.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
The article about literacy practices for native students is wonderful. The current text lacks some exact numbers and names. People need clear facts to understand the scope of the research. Please add the specific details below to finish the work.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			