



REVIEWER REPORT

Manuscript Title:	Professional Expertise and Knowledge Sharing Behavior of Public Elementary School Teachers
Type of Article:	Original Article

FIRST ROUND

EDITOR

<u>Comments and Recommendations</u>
After evaluating your manuscript alongside the peer reviewers' feedback, I agree that your research on educator collaboration has strong potential but requires specific revisions before we can proceed with publication. To provide a clearer overview of your study, please expand your abstract to include precise details such as the measured domains of expertise, the study location, and the exact statistical variance explained by your model. Additionally, you need to elevate your discussion section by explicitly anchoring your empirical results to established theoretical frameworks.

REVIEWER 1

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
Tie your empirical findings explicitly to established theoretical frameworks in the discussion section. I strongly suggest referencing Social Capital Theory, Knowledge Management Theory, and Situated Learning Theory to frame your results. Detail the specific domains of professional expertise directly in the abstract so readers know exactly what was measured. You should explicitly list knowledge and understanding, professional action skills, social relations skills, and self-development skills. Incorporating these items ensures that the summary stands alone as a complete representation of the research. This level of detail is expected in high-quality educational research journals. Please make sure these additions are seamlessly integrated into the existing paragraphs.

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
This is a highly insightful paper on peer learning and collaboration among elementary educators. To elevate the manuscript, ensure that your theoretical foundations are explicitly tied together at the end of the discussion. Your abstract also needs to comprehensively cover the specific measured domains to be truly effective. Implementing these changes will give your conclusion much greater academic weight.



Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.

REVIEWER 2

<u>Specific Comments and Recommendations</u>
The abstract needs the district name to be accurate. Add Mati Central District to the summary. Also, mention the exact percentage of variance. State that the model explains 51.8 percent of variance. This tells the reader how strong the effect is. People want to see the numbers immediately when they open the document. Please insert these two facts to improve the abstract quality

<u>General Comments and Recommendations</u>
I like this article about teacher exchange of ideas. You need to add more details so the readers get the full picture fast. The abstract is the first thing people read. Make it complete with the location and the numbers.

Please indicate your recommendation by checking the appropriate box below.

<u>Decision</u>	
☐	Accept the manuscript for publication.
☐	Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.
☐	The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.
☐	Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.



SECOND ROUND

<u>Decision</u>	<u>Editor</u>	<u>Reviewer 1</u>	<u>Reviewer 2</u>
Accept the manuscript for publication.	/	/	/
Reconsider the manuscript after the authors have satisfactorily addressed and complied with the reviewers' comments and recommendations.			
The manuscript, in its current form, is not acceptable for publication and requires substantial revision.			
Reject the manuscript, as it is not suitable for publication.			