

## Reviewer 1 Report

Manuscript title: Acceptance of AI-Driven Radiography among Radiographers in Iligan City:  
A Factor Analytic Study

Version: 1

### Comments:

1. Add the full rotated factor loading matrix in the main text or an appendix, not “available on request,” so readers can judge item performance directly.
2. Report communalities and cross-loadings, and state any item retention or deletion decisions with clear criteria.
3. Justify varimax rotation; an oblique rotation (e.g., promax) may be more realistic if acceptance dimensions are conceptually related.
4. Complement the eigenvalue > 1 rule with parallel analysis to support the four-factor decision more strongly.
5. Specify how reverse-coded displacement items were handled prior to extraction and whether wording direction affected factor grouping.
6. Include a brief missing-data statement (none, listwise deletion, or handling rules) and any screening steps for outliers.
7. Consider reporting subgroup comparisons (e.g., prior AI training vs none; years of practice) as an exploratory add-on, even if brief.

Overall recommendation: Accept with minor revisions.

## Reviewer 2 Report

Manuscript title: Acceptance of AI-Driven Radiography among Radiographers in Iligan City:  
A Factor Analytic Study

Version: 1

### Comments:

The study is well written and timely, and the TAM-grounded item development is appropriate for a factor analytic objective. My critique is mainly about transparency and reproducibility: readers will expect to see the item-level factor solution in full, including loadings, communalities, and any cross-loading notes, rather than a summary statement and a promise to provide the matrix later. I also suggest strengthening the factor-retention justification by adding parallel analysis and briefly noting why an orthogonal rotation was preferred over an oblique option, since real-world acceptance dimensions often correlate. Finally, the paper would gain practical value if it added a short exploratory check of whether acceptance patterns differ by AI training exposure or years of practice, even if only descriptive, because that information is immediately useful for designing education and governance efforts.

Overall recommendation: Accept with minor revisions.

## Reviewer 1 Report

Manuscript title: Acceptance of AI-Driven Radiography among Radiographers in Iligan City:  
A Factor Analytic Study

Version: 2

### Comments:

With revisions complete, the paper is recommended for publication.

## Reviewer 2 Report

Manuscript title: Acceptance of AI-Driven Radiography among Radiographers in Iligan City:  
A Factor Analytic Study

Version: 2

### Comments:

In light of the completed revisions, the paper is recommended for publication.