

Reviewer 1 Report

Manuscript title: Clinical Reporting of Pneumonia Chest Radiographs by Filipino Radiographers: A Diagnostic Accuracy Study

Version: 1

Comments:

1. Please justify the case mix and the enrichment of abnormal radiographs, since an abnormal-heavy set can inflate agreement and alter reader thresholds.
2. Please report confidence intervals for sensitivity, specificity, and agreement, not only point estimates.
3. Please include an agreement statistic adjusted for chance and prevalence effects (for example kappa or PABAK), because crude agreement can mislead in enriched datasets.
4. Please present per-radiographer performance (range or distribution), not only pooled values, to show variability and training implications.
5. Please clarify the operational definition of “pneumonia” on radiographs and specify how borderline findings (atelectasis, bronchiolitis patterns) were handled.
6. Please expand the statistical testing description to specify what comparisons were performed and whether clustering by reader was considered.
7. Please interpret low specificity as a threshold and pattern-recognition issue and outline targeted education or structured reporting steps to reduce false positives.

Overall recommendation: Accept with minor revisions.

Reviewer 2 Report

Manuscript title: Clinical Reporting of Pneumonia Chest Radiographs by Filipino Radiographers: A Diagnostic Accuracy Study

Version: 1

Comments:

The study addresses an important service question in settings with limited radiologist coverage, and the overall design is appropriate for an accuracy and agreement evaluation. My key concern is interpretive balance: the high sensitivity is encouraging, but low specificity can produce downstream harms through overcalling, unnecessary treatment, and increased referrals, so the implications should be stated plainly. The dataset is small and enriched, which increases the need to show variability across readers and to frame conclusions as context-specific rather than universally generalizable. I also recommend describing common uncertainty zones in chest radiography that drive false positives and linking these to concrete training priorities.

Overall recommendation: Accept with minor revisions.

Reviewer 1 Report

Manuscript title: Clinical Reporting of Pneumonia Chest Radiographs by Filipino Radiographers: A Diagnostic Accuracy Study

Version: 2

Comments:

Revisions are sufficient; the paper is recommended for publication.

Reviewer 2 Report

Manuscript title: Clinical Reporting of Pneumonia Chest Radiographs by Filipino Radiographers: A Diagnostic Accuracy Study

Version: 2

Comments:

The paper is approved for publication based on the completed revisions.